

We are looking for a simple proof of the LSKV law of large numbers $\lambda_{n} \sim Pl_{n}$ Plancherel measure on Y(n). $\omega_{\lambda_{n}} = continuous function associated to the Young diagram h$ $w_n(s) = \frac{w(\sqrt{n}s)}{\sqrt{n}}; \qquad \int \frac{w_n(s) - |s|}{2} ds = 1.$ $W_{n} = \frac{1}{2} \left(s \operatorname{arcsin} \frac{s}{2} + \sqrt{4-s^{2}} \right) \quad \text{if } |s| \leq 2.$ $B_{n} ||_{\infty} \quad ||_{\infty} \quad ||_{s} \mid ||_{s} \quad |$ idea: consider functionals F(w) in an algebra of observables () such that when shows of the such as F(-2) and use a method of moments on the random variables F(when). $\frac{1}{p_{k}(\lambda)} = \frac{1}{k(k-1)} \int_{R}^{Q_{1}(s)} \frac{1}{s^{k-2}} ds \qquad Q_{1}(s) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{R}^{Q_{1}(s)} \frac{1}{s^{k-2}} ds \qquad Q_{1}(s) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{R}^{Q_{1}(s)} \frac{1}{s^{k-2}} ds \qquad Q_{2}(s) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{R}^{Q_{2}(s)} \frac{1}{s^{k-2}} ds$ $= -k \int \sigma_{\overline{\lambda}}'(s) s^{k-1} ds = \int \sigma_{\overline{\lambda}}''(s) s^{k} ds.$ Lemmo: suppose that (W) is a sequence of centinuous Young diagrams with: functions R -> R, 1-Lipschitz = Isl for kl large enough

1.
$$\exists M$$
: $\forall |s| \geq \Pi$, $u_{h}(s) = |s|$
2. $\tilde{\rho}_{k}(u_{h}) \xrightarrow{n \to \infty} \tilde{\rho}_{k}(w)$ $\forall k \geq 2$.
Ther, $u_{h} \xrightarrow{w} w$.
Prof. The set of antinuous Yang digrams with support CE-17, M] is compact
(Arzelia-Ascolic)
If \overline{w} is a limit of \Rightarrow convergent subsequence of $(u_{h})_{h \in \mathbb{N}}$ then:
 $\forall k \geq 2$, $\tilde{\rho}_{k}(\overline{u}) = \tilde{\rho}_{k}(u_{h})$.
Since Weinstress $\forall f \in C(C \prod, M])$, $\int f_{0}(\overline{u} \cdot w)(s) \, ds = 0$
 $\Rightarrow \int (\overline{u} - w)^{2}(s) \, ds = 0$, so $\overline{w} = w$.
The unicity of accumulation paint implies that $u_{h} = w$.
I.
Remarks: \Im With very high probability if $\lambda_{h} \sim P_{h}$, then u_{h} is supported by
 $\Gamma = \frac{1}{n!(K)} \leq \frac{1}{n!(K)} (n-K)! = \frac{n!}{K!^{2} n K!} \leq \frac{n}{K!^{2}}$
 $= \frac{1}{(u_{h})^{2} u_{h}^{2}}$
and $f = C(I_{h}, I_{h}) = 2 \operatorname{TicUn} (e)^{2} c(I_{h}) \ll d$
 $e^{-\frac{1}{2} e^{-\frac{1}{2}} (e^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{$

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{File is odd, then $\overline{pl}(-2) = 0$. Otherwise, \\ & \text{File } (-2) = \mathcal{R}k \int_{-2}^{2} s^{2k-1} \left(1 - \frac{2}{2} \arcsin \frac{a}{2} \right) ds \\ & = 2^{2k+1} k \int_{-1}^{\sqrt{2}} (s_{11} 0)^{2k-1} \cos \theta \left(1 - \frac{20}{2k} \right) d\theta \\ & = 2^{2k+1} \int_{-1}^{\sqrt{2}} (s_{11} 0)^{2k-1} \cos \theta \left(1 - \frac{20}{2k} \right) d\theta \\ & = \frac{2^{2k+1}}{\pi} \int_{-1}^{\sqrt{2}} (s_{11} 0)^{2k-1} d\theta = \left(\frac{2k}{k} \right) \quad \text{Willis' integral.} \\ & = 5 \text{ to prove } LSKV, it suffices to show that $\overline{pk}(u_k) - \frac{1}{p} \cdot \frac{1}{keven} \left(\frac{k}{k_2} \right)$. \\ & \text{problem}: set $\theta = RC \overline{p}_{0}, \overline{p}_{0}, \dots]. \quad \text{If } F \in \theta \text{ how to compute.} \\ & \text{IET } F(u_k)] ? \\ & \text{ expectation under the flancheel measure } fl_{keven} \cdot \frac{1}{k_{keven}} \cdot \frac{1$$

h.
$$\sum c_{g,g} = \sum c_{g} \cdot (hg)$$
.
(a) direct sum of representations : if (V_{d}, q) and (V_{d}, q) are two representations. Then $(V_{d} \otimes V_{d}, q) \otimes (V_{d}, q)$ is a proposabilitient $g \cdot (V_{d} + V_{d}) = g \cdot (V_{d} + q) \cdot (V_{d} - Q)$.
dejective: describe all the representations of a finite grap G .
Definition A morphism of representations between (V_{d}, q) and (V_{d}, q) .
is a binder map $\phi \cdot (V_{d} - V_{d})$ such that (V_{d}, q) and (V_{d}, q) .
A morphism of representations of a proposability of the diagrams $V_{d} = g \cdot (V_{d})$. \ll commutivity of the diagrams $V_{d} = g \cdot (V_{d})$.
A isomorphism of representations is a morphism of representations are sub-expressibilities
the site of representations is a morphism of representations are sub-expressibilities
 $V_{d} = g \cdot (V_{d})$. \ll commutivity of the diagrams $V_{d} = g \cdot V_{d}$.
An isomorphism of representations is a morphism of representations are sub-expressibilities
 $V_{d} = g \cdot (V_{d})$. \ll complete it is a set inverse if a solar product is a subrepresentations of a presentations are sub-expressibilities
Def A representation (V_{d}) of G is easily involved if its only subrepresentations:
 $V = W \oplus Z$ direct sum of representations.
Proof. By taking means over G, we can construct a thermitian scalar-product
such that G backs by isometries.
Then, the orthogonal complement of W is a subrepresentation Z.
As a consequence, we can split any representation V as a direct sum of irreducible
representations (it leachive therean).
 $V = \bigoplus m$ V_{i} the V, is non isomorphic irreducible representations
 $V = \bigoplus m$ V_{i} the V, is non isomorphic irreducible representations.
 $V = \bigoplus m$ V_{i} the V, is non isomorphic irreducible representations.

Any representation of G splits uniquely as a direct sum.
$$V = \bigoplus_{A \in G} m_A \vee^A.$$
S G is finite, and we have the decomposition CG = ① (dimA) \vee^A.
S G is finite, and we have the decomposition CG = ① (dimA) \vee^A.
S To particular, $|G| = \sum_{A \in G} (dimA)^2$.
Proof: O $m_A = dim hom_G (V, V^A)$ by O and O of the Schurchenna; this inflice the unicity.
S and O of CG = ∑ dA \vee^A , then dA = dim hom_G C (G, V^A)
A & G = dim V^A.
Definition: the spectral massure of a representation. V of G is the probability measure of G given by:
R ⊂ A = dim A^A.
Definition: the spectral massure of a representation. V of G is the probability measure of G given by:
R ⊂ A = m_A dimA if V = O m_A V^A.
The flanchard measure of a proup G is the spectral measure of the repulse representation CG.
H_G(A) = (dimA)².
The flanchard measure of a group G is the spectral measure of the repulse representation CG.
H_G(A) = (dimA)².
The flanchard measure of a group G is the spectral measure of the repulse representation CG.
H_G(A) = (dimA)².
The flanchard measure of a group G is the spectral measure of the repulse representation CG.
H_G(A) = (dimA)².
The flanchard measure of a group G is the spectral measure of the flanchard measure of S(n) with the flanchard measure of H_A(A) = (STCA)².
S. The Fourier isomorphism.
We endow CG with a circular of slipbra:
(Z G, g) × (Z dh. h) = ∑ g dh. (gh).
CG is a complex slipebra with dimension of slipbras
S: CG ⇒ Erd(V^A)
∑ G S^A(g).

Definition: The Fourier transform of G is the map $\mathbb{C}G \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \widehat{G}} \operatorname{End}(V^{\lambda})$. $\times \longrightarrow \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{L}} (\varsigma^{\lambda}(x) = \hat{x}(\lambda))$ We endow_CG with the scolar product $\langle \sum g \cdot g | \geq d_g \cdot g \rangle = \sum \overline{g} d_g \cdot g$. IGL - each space End (V^{λ}) with the scalar product $\langle V | V \rangle = \frac{d\lambda}{|G|^2} tr(U^*V)$ Theorem The Fourier transform is an isomorphism of objectives and isometry. Proof We already know that it is a marphism of algebras, so it suffices to prace the isometry property. let us compute < gi; | gkl >, i,j < dim à, k,l < dim y > matrices in arthonormal bases of V' and V". $= \frac{1}{|G|} \frac{2}{geg} \frac{\overline{\varphi_i}(g)}{\overline{\varphi_i}(g)} \frac{\overline{\varphi_k}(g)}{\overline{\varphi_k}(g)} = \frac{1}{|G|} \frac{2}{geg} \frac{\overline{\varphi_i}(g)}{\overline{\varphi_i}(g)} \frac{\overline{\varphi_i}(g)}{\overline{\varphi_i}(g)} + \frac{1}{|G|} \frac{2}{geg} \frac{\overline{\varphi_i}(g)}{\overline{\varphi_i}(g)} \frac{\overline{\varphi_i}(g)}{\overline{\varphi_i}(g)} \frac{\overline{\varphi_i}(g)}{\overline{\varphi_i}(g)} + \frac{1}{|G|} \frac{2}{geg} \frac{2}{geg} \frac{2}{geg} \frac{2}{geg} \frac{2}{geg} \frac{2}{geg} + \frac{1}{|G|} \frac{2}{geg} \frac{2}$ $= \langle e_{k}^{\mu} \mid \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{q \in G} g \cdot e_{k}^{\mu} \rangle \langle g \cdot e_{j}^{\mu} \mid e_{i}^{\lambda} \rangle$ $\xrightarrow{} V^{\lambda} \xrightarrow{} V^{\mu}$ We remark that τ is a morphism of representations: $\tau(h.v) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} |g.e_e^{p}| > < g.e_e^{\lambda} |h.v| < |G| = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} |g.e_e^{p}| < g.e_e^{\lambda} |v| > < h^{-1}g.e_e^{\lambda} |v| >$ $= h \cdot \frac{1}{161} \sum_{g \in G} \frac{|h_{g} \cdot e_{g}|}{g \in G} > < h_{g} \cdot e_{g} \cdot |v \rangle = h \cdot T(v).$

Do, T=O f A+y. If A=y, then T = c. idy A and trT= din A.c. However, $tr T = \sum_{i}^{n} \langle e_{i}^{\lambda} | T | e_{i}^{\lambda} \rangle = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{q \in G} \langle e_{i}^{\lambda} | q \cdot e_{\ell}^{\lambda} \rangle \langle q \cdot e_{\ell}^{\lambda} | e_{\ell}^{\lambda} \rangle$ $= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{q \in G} \langle q \cdot e_{j}^{\lambda} | q \cdot e_{\ell}^{\alpha} \rangle$ = 1<u>1</u>:e. $=> T = \frac{1}{din \lambda} \int_{C} e^{i d_V \lambda}$ and $\langle g_{ij}^{\lambda} | g_{k\ell}^{\mu} \rangle = \frac{1}{\lambda + \mu_{ij}} + \frac{1}{\lambda + \mu_{ij}$ Therefore, (eij) is an orthogonal basis of C.G. Moreover, $\begin{aligned} \hat{g}_{ij}(\psi) &= \left(\sum_{\substack{g \in G \\ g \in G}} \hat{g}_{ij}(g) \right) \hat{g}_{ke}(g) \\ &= \frac{|G|}{dim\lambda} \hat{f}_{k=\psi} \sum_{\substack{g \in G \\ ij}} \hat{g}_{ij}(\psi) \hat{g}_{ke}(g) \\ &= \frac{|G|}{dim\lambda} \hat{f}_{k=\psi} \sum_{\substack{g \in G \\ ij}} \hat{g}_{ij}(\psi) \hat{g}_{ke}(g) \\ &= \frac{|G|}{dim\lambda} \hat{f}_{k=\psi} \sum_{\substack{g \in G \\ ij}} \hat{g}_{ij}(\psi) \hat{g}_{ke}(g) \\ &= \frac{|G|}{dim\lambda} \hat{f}_{k=\psi} \sum_{\substack{g \in G \\ ij}} \hat{g}_{ij}(\psi) \hat{g}_{ke}(g) \\ &= \frac{|G|}{dim\lambda} \hat{f}_{k=\psi} \sum_{\substack{g \in G \\ ij}} \hat{g}_{ij}(\psi) \hat{g}_{ke}(g) \\ &= \frac{|G|}{dim\lambda} \hat{f}_{k=\psi} \sum_{\substack{g \in G \\ ij}} \hat{g}_{ij}(\psi) \hat{g}_{ke}(g) \\ &= \frac{|G|}{dim\lambda} \hat{f}_{k=\psi} \sum_{\substack{g \in G \\ ij}} \hat{g}_{ij}(\psi) \hat{g}_{ke}(g) \\ &= \frac{|G|}{dim\lambda} \hat{f}_{k=\psi} \sum_{\substack{g \in G \\ ij}} \hat{g}_{ij}(\psi) \hat{g}_{ke}(g) \\ &= \frac{|G|}{dim\lambda} \hat{f}_{k=\psi} \sum_{\substack{g \in G \\ ij}} \hat{g}_{ij}(\psi) \hat{g}_{k}(\psi) \hat{g}_{k}(\psi) \\ &= \frac{|G|}{dim\lambda} \hat{f}_{k=\psi} \sum_{\substack{g \in G \\ ij}} \hat{g}_{ij}(\psi) \hat{g}_{k}(\psi) \hat{g}_{k}(\psi) \\ &= \frac{|G|}{dim\lambda} \hat{g}_{k}(\psi) \hat{g}_{k}(\psi) \hat{g}_{k}(\psi) \\ &= \frac{|G|}{dim\lambda} \hat{g}_{k}(\psi) \hat{g}_{k}(\psi) \hat{g}_{k}(\psi) \\ &= \frac{|G|}{dim\lambda} \hat{g}_{k}(\psi) \\ &= \frac{|G|}{dim\lambda$ Π $\frac{\text{Corollory}}{\lambda \in \hat{\mathcal{S}}} : \mathbb{Z}(\mathbb{C}G) \sim \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \hat{\mathcal{S}}} \mathbb{Z}(\text{End}(V_{\lambda})) = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \hat{\mathcal{S}}} \mathbb{C}_{id_{V}\lambda}$ which implies that IGI = number of anjugacy classes of G. When G = O(n) the onjugacy classes are lobelled by integer partitions, so there is a bijection: Sto) <>> J(r)