

# Norms of Weierstrass-sections

Bismut birthday conference

Gerd Faltings

Max Planck Institute for Mathematics

30.5./3.6.2013

# Weierstrass-sections

## Introduction

Weierstrass points play an important role in diophantine geometry. Recall their definition: Assume  $C$  is a compact Riemann surface,  $\mathcal{L}$  a linebundle on  $C$ , and  $f_1, \dots, f_r \in \Gamma(C, \mathcal{L})$  global sections. Then  $W(f_1, \dots, f_r) \in \Gamma(C, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes r} \otimes \omega_C^{\otimes r(r-1)/2})$  is the global section which in a local coordinate  $z$  and a local trivialisation of  $\mathcal{L}$  is equal to the Wronskian of  $f_1, \dots, f_r$ , that is the determinant of the matrix  $1/j! df_i/dz^j$ . If the  $f_i$  are linearly independent then  $W(f_1, \dots, f_r)$  does not vanish. This follows because for exponents  $e_1 < e_2 < \dots < e_r$  the Wronskian of the powers  $z^{e_i}$  does not vanish identically. For example for the canonical bundle the Weierstrass-sections give a map from  $\wedge^g(\Gamma(C, \omega_C) \rightarrow \Gamma(C, \omega_C^{g(g+1)/2}))$  which is used to prove the positivity of the relative  $\omega$  in semistable families which are not isotrivial. The archimedean analogue would be that the Weierstrass-section has norm  $\leq 1$ . Here we plan to give estimates for the archimedean norm of  $W(f_1, \dots, f_r)$  as well as a non-archimedean analogue, that is its divisibility for a semistable curve over a discrete valuation ring.

## An intrinsic definition

A more intrinsic view of  $W(f_1, \dots, f_r)$  is obtained by considering the jet-bundle  $J_r(\mathcal{L})$  defined by  $J_r(\mathcal{L}) = pr_{1,*}(pr_2^*(\mathcal{L})/I_\Delta^r)$ , where  $I_\Delta$  denotes the ideal of the diagonal in  $C \times C$ , and  $pr_i$  the projections from  $C \times C$  to  $C$ .  $J_r(\mathcal{L})$  admits a filtration with subquotients  $\mathcal{L} \otimes \omega_C^{\otimes i}$ , and  $W(f_1, \dots, f_r)$  denotes the determinant of the sections of  $J_r(\mathcal{L})$  defined by  $pr_2^*(f_i)$ . In positive characteristic  $W(f_1, \dots, f_r)$  may vanish identically, but a variant with a higher power of  $\omega_C$  is still nonzero: For some big  $r$  (for example  $r > \deg(\mathcal{L}) + 1$ ) the space spanned by  $f_1, \dots, f_r$  injects in  $\Gamma(C, J_r(\mathcal{L}))$ . The filtration on  $J_r(\mathcal{L})$  defined by powers of  $I_\Delta$  induces a filtration on the space spanned by  $f_1, \dots, f_r$ . If the nontrivial jumps of this induced filtration occur in degrees  $e_1, \dots, e_r$  then our construction gives a canonical section of  $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes r} \otimes \omega_C^{e_1 + \dots + e_r}$ . This also works for semistable curves.

# Estimates in the hyperbolic metric

We assume that  $C$  is hyperbolic, so its genus  $g > 1$ . We choose a point  $x \in C$  and write  $C = \mathbb{D}/\Gamma$  as a quotient of the unit disc under a discrete cocompact torsionfree subgroup  $\Gamma \subset PSU(1, 1)$ . This defines a coordinate  $z$  on  $\mathbb{D}$  which gives a local coordinate (with the same name)  $z$  near  $x$ . It is welldefined up to multiplication with a constant of absolute value one. The hyperbolic metric on  $\mathbb{D}$  is given by the Kähler form  $-2idz \wedge d\bar{z}/(1 - |z|^2)^2$ . It is normalised such that the hyperbolic volume of  $C$  is  $4\pi(g - 1)$ .

The holomorphic differentials  $\alpha \in \Gamma(C, \omega_C)$  induce  $\Gamma$ -invariant holomorphic differentials  $\alpha(z)dz$  with  $i/2 \int_{\mathbb{D}/\Gamma} |\alpha(z)|^2 dz \wedge d\bar{z} < \infty$ . The coefficient of  $z^j dz$  in  $\alpha(z) = \sum_j a_j z^j dz$  is given by  $a_j = i/2 \int_{\mathbb{D}} \alpha \wedge \bar{\alpha}_j$  for some form  $\alpha_j \in \Gamma(C, \omega_C)$ . Then up to a factor  $dz^{g(g+1)/2}$  the value of the Weierstrass-section at  $x$  is the determinant of the matrix defined by integrating holomorphic forms on  $C$  against the  $\bar{\alpha}_j$ . So its normsquare (in some metric on  $\omega_C$ ) is the product of the square of the norm of  $dz^{g(g+1)/2}$  with the determinant of the matrix with entries  $i/2 \int_C \alpha_j \wedge \bar{\alpha}_k$ . If we change the local coordinate  $z$  by a factor of absolute value one this does not change.

# Squareintegrals

The  $\alpha_j$  depend on  $x$ . We claim that their squareintegral for the hyperbolic metric is given by

$$i/2 \int_C \alpha_j \wedge \bar{\alpha}_j = 2g(j+1).$$

We first show this for  $j = 0$ : The hyperbolic norm of  $dz$  at the origin is  $2^{-1/2}$ . If  $\beta_j$  runs through an orthonormal basis of  $\Gamma(C, \omega_C)$  then the value of  $\beta_j$  at  $x$  is

$$i/2 \int_C \beta_j \wedge \bar{\alpha}_0.$$

If we take the sums of the squares of the hyperbolic norms we get  $\|\alpha_0\|^2$ . On the other hand the squareintegrals of the norms of  $\beta_j$  are 1, thus the result for  $j = 0$ .

## Continuation

For higher  $j$ 's use that the space of holomorphic differentials  $\alpha = \sum_n a_n z^n dz$  forms a topologically irreducible representation of the group  $G = PSU(1, 1)$ . The linear forms  $a_j$  span an irreducible Harish-Chandra module in the dual space. The group  $G$  operates on the slightly bigger space of differentials holomorphic in a neighbourhood of the closure of  $\mathbb{D}$ , that is the series  $\sum_n b_n z^n dz$  with  $|b_n|R^n$  bounded for some  $R > 1$ . The pairing with  $\Gamma(\mathbb{D}, \omega_{\mathbb{D}})$  is formally given by integration but this may not converge. For a given  $x \in C$  the restriction to  $\Gamma$ -invariant forms maps our Harish-Chandra module to the dual space (that is to the complex conjugate) of  $\Gamma(C, \omega_C)$ , and extends to the slightly bigger topological module. Thus the inner product on this space of differentials induces a  $\Gamma$ -invariant inner product on the topological model. If we integrate over  $G/\Gamma$  we obtain a  $G$ -invariant inner product which (because of irreducibility) must be a multiple of usual square-integration. As the  $\alpha_j$  are induced by  $\beta_j = (j+1)/\pi z^j dz$  the integrals of the squarenorms of  $\alpha_j$  are proportional to the squarenorms of the  $\beta_j$ , that is to  $j+1$ .

## From traces to determinants

The hyperbolic squarenorm at  $x$  of the Weierstrass-section is equal to the product of the  $g(g+1)$ 'st power of the norm of  $dz$  with the determinant of the inner products of  $\alpha_j$ 's. The first factor is  $2^{-g(g+1)/2}$ . For the second we first replace  $\alpha_j$  by  $\alpha_j/(j+1)^{1/2}$  and then estimate the determinant of the  $g$ -th power of the trace divided by  $g$  (inequality between geometric and arithmetic mean). Thus the squarenorm of  $W(x)$  is bounded above by

$$2^{-g(g+1)/2} g! g^{-g} \left( \sum_j \|\alpha_j\|^2 / (j+1) \right)^g.$$



# The result

If we form its  $g$ 'th root and integrate over  $C$  (with the hyperbolic volumeform) we get as result:

## Theorem

$$\int_C |W|^{2/g} \leq 2^{-(g-1)/2} (g!)^{1/g}.$$

The dependance on the hyperbolic norm goes away if we integrate  $|W|^{4/g(g+1)}$  because this is naturally a density. The resulting upper bound is

$$(g!)^{2/g(g+1)} (2\pi(g-1))^{(g-1)/(g+1)}.$$

Assume that  $C$  is a semistable curve over a discrete valuation ring  $V$ , with smooth generic fibre  $C_\eta$ , and special fibre  $C_s$ . We assume that the residue-field  $k$  of  $V$  is algebraically closed. Burnol observed that for reducible  $s$  the Weierstrass section becomes divisible by a power of the uniformiser  $\pi$  of  $V$ . The structure of irreducible components of  $C_s$  is described by a graph  $\mathcal{G}$  whose vertices  $v \in V$  label irreducible components  $C_v$  of  $C_s$  and whose oriented edges  $e \in E$  label double-points. For each edge the completed local ring of  $C$  in the corresponding double point is isomorphic to  $V[[u, v]]/(uv - \pi^{r_e})$  for some integer  $r_e \geq 1$ . We let  $X = H_1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{Z})$  denote its first homology.  $X$  is a subgroup of  $\mathbb{Z}^E$  and consists of sequences  $n_e$  such that for each vertex  $v$  the sum  $\pm n_e = 0$ . The sum is over edges starting or ending in  $v$ , and the signs are given by the orientation. Furthermore we need the symmetric bilinear form on  $X$  (and on  $\mathbb{Z}^E$ ) defined by  $b(m_e, n_e) = \sum_E r_e m_e n_e$ . If we replace  $C$  by a regular semistable model (all  $r_e = 1$ ) we replace each edge  $e$  by a chain of  $r_e$  edges. This does change neither the homology  $H_1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{Z})$  nor the bilinear form  $b$ .

# Determinant of cohomology

It is wellknown that the Weierstrass-section is related to the determinant of cohomology. If we choose a  $V$ -point  $Q$  of  $C$  and a basis  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_g$  of the regular differentials  $\Gamma(C, \omega_C) = \Gamma(C, \omega_C(Q))$  then for each  $S$ -point  $P$  of  $C$  ( $S$  any  $V$ -scheme) disjoint from  $Q$  the induced map

$$\mathcal{O}_S^g \rightarrow \Gamma(C_S, \omega_C(Q)/\omega_C(Q - gP))$$

has as determinant the Weierstrass-section (at  $P$ ). On the other hand this determinant can be identified with the canonical section (the thetafunction) of the inverse of the determinant of cohomology of  $\omega_C(Q - gP)$  (This line-bundle has degree  $g - 1$  and thus vanishing Euler-characteristic). If  $Q$  is not disjoint from  $P$  we need a slight modification. Namely the image of the Weierstrass-section  $W \in \Gamma(C, \omega_C^{g(g+1)/2})$  in  $\Gamma(C, \omega_C^{g(g+1)/2}(gQ))$  can be identified with the canonical section of the inverse of the determinant of cohomology of  $\omega_C(Q - gP)$ .

We fix one line-bundle  $\mathcal{M}$  of relative degree  $g - 1$  on  $C$ , and try to estimate the  $\pi$ -power dividing the determinant of cohomology of  $\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{M}$ , for a linebundle  $\mathcal{L}$  of degree zero. Such  $\mathcal{L}$ 's are parametrised by the Néron-model of the Jacobian  $J(C_\eta)$ . The formal completion of this Néron-model can be described as a quotient  $G = \tilde{G}/\iota(X)$ . Here  $\tilde{G}$  is an extension

$$0 \rightarrow T \rightarrow \tilde{G} \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0,$$

with  $A$  an abelian variety and  $T$  the torus with charactergroup  $X$ , and it parametrises line-bundles on  $C$  (or its formal completion) whose restriction to each component  $C_v$  has degree zero.  $\iota$  is a map

$$\iota : X \rightarrow \tilde{G}(K)$$

which up to is the sum of a map into  $\tilde{G}(V)$  and the map into  $T(K)$  described by  $b$  (or better  $\pi^b$ ).

Linebundles of total degree zero on  $C$  have a degree vector  $\text{deg}(\mathcal{L}|_{C_v}) \in \mathbb{Z}^V$  which lies in the kernel of the projection onto  $H_0(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}$ , that is in the image of  $\mathbb{Z}^E$ . Thus the degree-vectors lie in  $\mathbb{Z}^E/X$ . If  $C$  is regular the degree of  $\mathcal{O}(C_v)$  is the sum (over all edges connecting to  $v$ , with sign depending on orientation)  $\sum \pm e$ . To form the Néronmodel we have to divide by these. If we do this on  $\mathbb{Z}^E$  we obtain as quotient the dual  $X^t$ , and thus the connected components are parametrised by  $X^t/b(X)$  as also follows from the description as rigid quotient.

## Relation Néron - determinant of cohomology

The (inverse of) the determinant of cohomology of  $\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{M}$  is a line-bundle on the Picard-functor which satisfies the theorem of the cube but unfortunately is not invariant under tensoring with  $\mathcal{O}(C_v)$ 's. Thus it does not descend to the Néron-model. In fact we have:

- The determinant of cohomology remains invariant if we replace  $\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{M}$  by  $\omega_C \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-1} \otimes \mathcal{M}^{-1}$ , that is  $\mathcal{L}$  by  $\omega_C \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-2} \otimes \mathcal{M}^{-1}$ .
- If we replace  $\mathcal{L}$  by  $\mathcal{L}(-C_v)$  the inverse of the determinant of cohomology is changed by the divisor of  $\pi$  to the power  $\deg(\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{M}|_{C_v}) + 1 - g_v$ , where  $g_v$  denotes the genus of  $C_v$ .
- For a generic linebundle  $\mathcal{L}$  with  $\deg(\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{M}|_{C_v}) = g_v - 1 + n_v^+$  the cohomology vanishes (and thus its determinant becomes a unit). Here  $n_v^+$  denotes the number of edges starting in  $v$ .

# A cubical bundle

To get a cubical linebundle on the Néron-model we modify the determinant of cohomology as follows: The degree-vectors of  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $\omega_C \otimes \otimes \mathcal{M}^{-1}$  differ by the image of a linear combination  $\alpha = \sum_e m_e e$  where all coefficients  $m_e$  are odd integers. Such a representation is unique modulo  $2X$ . This holds because the parity of  $\deg(\omega_C|_{C_v})$  is the number of edges connecting to  $v$ . Also the degree of  $\mathcal{L}$  is the image of an element  $\beta = \sum_e n_e e$ , well determined modulo  $X$ .

Then for such a representation modify the determinant of cohomology by the  $\pi$ -power with exponent one eighth the norm squared of the projection of  $\alpha - 2\beta$  to  $X^\perp$ . This is a rational number but its denominator is bounded so we get a line-bundle over the extension to a finite ramified extension  $V'$  of  $V$  (we only change the basering but keep the Néron-model, that is we do not pass to the model over  $V'$  which has more components).

## A cubical bundle 2

One checks that the result is invariant under tensoring with  $\mathcal{O}(C_v)$ 's. Namely if we replace  $\mathcal{L}$  by  $\mathcal{L}(-C_v)$  we get new representatives for the degree-vectors by subtracting  $\pm 2$  from  $n_e$ , for  $e$  an edge starting or ending at  $v$ . Then the sum  $\sum_e (n_e^2 - 1)/8$  changes by the sum over edges connecting to  $v$  of

$$\begin{aligned}(-\pm n_e + 1)/2 &= (-\deg(\omega_C \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-2} \otimes \mathcal{M}^{-2}|_{C_v}) + \sum_e 1)/2 \\ &= -(g_v - 1) - \deg(\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{M}|_{C_v}).\end{aligned}$$

(one needs to change  $\beta$  by the image of  $v$  in  $\mathbb{Z}^E$  which lies in  $X^\perp$ ). Also replacing  $\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{M}$  by  $\omega_C \mathcal{L}^{-1} \otimes \mathcal{M}^{-1}$  gives the same. The inverse determinant of cohomology defines (over  $K$ ) a global section of this bundle (a theta-divisor) which is symmetric in the sense that it is invariant if we replace  $\mathcal{L}$  by  $\omega_C \otimes \mathcal{M}^{-2} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-1}$ . On the generic fibre our bundle coincides with the theta-bundle giving the polarisation, thus differs from this theta-bundle by a divisor supported in the special fibre. Because both bundles have cubical structures the coefficients this divisor are constant.



The polarisation on the Néron-model is given by a thetafunction which is rigid analytic defined by a sum

$$\sum_{\mu \in X} a(\mu)\mu,$$

where  $a(\mu)$  is a multiplicatively quadratic function (or better section of a linebundle on  $A$ ) of  $\mu$  whose quadratic term is  $\pi^{b(\mu,\mu)/2}$ . On the component parametrised by  $\rho \in X^t$  its  $\pi$ -valuation in a generic point is, up to a constant independent of  $\rho$ , given by the minimum of  $b(\rho - \alpha/2 + \mu)/2$  for  $\mu \in X$ . This follows because the quadratic term in the valuation of  $a$  is  $b/2$  and the thetadivisor is symmetric around  $\alpha/2$ . To get the  $\pi$ -adic valuation on the original determinant of cohomology we have to add one eighth of the norm square of the projection to  $X^\perp$  of  $\alpha - 2\beta$ . The result is the minimum (over  $\mu \in X$ ) of the normsquare of  $\alpha - 2\beta - 2\mu$ . Furthermore the unknown constant is determined by c) above and we get:

# The result

## Theorem

*The degree-vectors of  $\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{M}$  and  $\omega_C \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-1} \otimes \mathcal{M}^{-1}$  differ by the image of a linear combination  $\sum_e m_e e$  where all coefficients  $m_e$  are odd integers. Such a representation is unique modulo  $2X$ . Then the  $\pi$ -power is the minimum over all such representations of  $\sum_e (m_e^2 - 1)/8$ .*

## Proof.

Namely this is true up to a constant which can be determined by property c). One also can check directly that it satisfies a) (change the sign of the  $m_e$ ) and c) (one can chose  $m_e = \pm 1$ ). □

- Remarks* a) If  $C$  is not a regular semistable model one has to change the sum to  $\sum_e r_e(n_e^2 - 1)/8$ .
- b) The divisibility by powers of  $\pi$  of the Weierstrass-section is due to the fact that  $\omega_C$  and  $\mathcal{O}(2gP - 2Q)$  have quite different degrees on various components.
- c) A similar reasoning applies to other linebundles (instead of  $\omega_C$ ).
- d) The bound need not be optimal as  $\omega_C(Q - gP)$  is not a generic linebundle with given degree-vector. For example it depends on the choice of  $Q$ . The most canonical choice is if  $Q$  lies in the same component as  $P$ , but this gives the worst estimate.